**Evaluation Criteria**

*Each Evaluation Team Member will allocate points to each RFP for each category assessed.* *A Weighted Criteria methodology will apply to the evaluation of this RFP as follows:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria**  | **Weight %**  |  |
| **Non-Price Criteria**  |  |   |
| 1. Locally established organisation - *the extent that the organisation uses local, socially and culturally relevant practices and resources*
 |  | 5 |
| 1. Acceptance of the Contract terms
 |  | 5 |
| 1. Experience in delivering the identified service – *the extent that the organisation can show long term commitment and experience in effective delivery of services*
 |  | 15 |
| 1. Ownership - *Proposals reflect the organisations strategies for addressing the needs of the vulnerable people*
 |  | 10 |
| 1. Alignment – *Proposals align with the purpose and criteria of the SIF*
 |  | 10 |
| 1. Harmonisation – *Proposals show collaboration with other groups where possible in an effort to reduce duplication*
 |  | 5 |
| 1. Results – *Proposals reflect results based planning and reporting*
 |  | 15 |
| 1. Mutual Accountability – *Proposals indicate that CSO’s are* *accountable for results*
 |  | 10 |
| **Total Non-Price Elements**  |  | **75** |
| Price  |  | 25 |
| **TOTAL WEIGHTING**  |  | **100** |

**Risk**

The Evaluation Committee will conduct a Risk Assessment for each Tender submitted. This will identify the most significant risks presented by the Tender and consider the likelihood of the risk occurring; the consequence of that risk; and a risk mitigation strategy. In conclusion, the mitigated risk will be determined to form an overall measure of the risk represented by each Tender.

The risk mitigation strategy may include the inclusion of specific clauses in the executed contract. Therefore, a Tender considered to be high risk might still be selected subject to the Tenderer’s willingness to accept the proposed contract amendments.